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**Short description of problem that focus article aims to address and proposed methodology**
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| Traditional DNN research typically focuses on enhancing model accuracy, efficiency, or speed. In contrast, this paper addresses the challenge of interpreting DNN decisions by objectively quantifying the quality of pixel-level heatmaps—visual explanations that highlight the image regions driving classification outcomes. The study compares three heatmap generation methods: sensitivity analysis, deconvolution, and layer-wise relevance propagation (LRP). The authors introduce a novel methodology that involves a region perturbation strategy, where image regions are sequentially altered (“flipped”) in order of their relevance, and the Area Over the Perturbation Curve (AOPC) is used as a quantitative metric to measure the impact on classifier output. Using the MIT Places dataset and the Caffe reference model for ImageNet, the results demonstrate that relevance heatmaps produced by LRP yield the highest AOPC values. This indicates that LRP more accurately identifies the most critical pixels for the network’s decision, offering a robust and time-efficient framework for evaluating heatmap quality. |
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